

PARTY LEADERSHIP AND RULE OF LAW IN THE XI JINPING ERA WHAT DOES AN ASCENDANT CHINESE COMMUNIST PARTY MEAN FOR CHINA'S LEGAL DEVELOPMENT?

JAMIE P. HORSLEY

SEPTEMBER 2019

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Chinese Communist Party has largely observed a technical, *de jure* separation between itself and the state, including the legal system — even while *de facto* controlling the state apparatus and often disregarding¹ and devaluing the law — for much of the 70 years it has ruled the People's Republic of China (PRC). Party General Secretary Xi Jinping is dismantling that pretense through assertion of comprehensive party leadership² over everything, including law. Yet, his push to codify party leadership into law and making explicit the party's command of “socialist rule of law with Chinese characteristics”³ suggest that Xi appreciates the legitimating power of law. Under his incumbency, the party has made “governing the country in accordance with law,”⁴ commonly translated as “law-based governance” (依法治国), a cornerstone of the party's governance strategy, along with Xi Jinping Thought on Socialism with Chinese Characteristics for a New Era.⁵ The party, while demanding “absolute”⁶ leadership of political-legal work, continues to delegate to state legal institutions the responsibility to address and resolve complex matters on a day-to-day basis in a professional, efficient, fair, and autonomous manner to help maintain social stability and promote economic development.

At the same time, the authoritarian party-state continues to bypass state legal requirements,⁷ or adopts⁸ and applies illiberal ones,⁹ when dealing with what it views as sensitive matters. Relying on extra-legal detentions¹⁰ and other coercive measures, Xi has overseen an unprecedentedly organized and sustained crackdown on civic and labor activists, religious leaders,

journalists, and ethnic and religious minorities — most blatantly in Xinjiang¹¹ and Tibet.¹² The crackdown has also targeted outspoken academics¹³ and students,¹⁴ as well as the legal profession that the party purports to entrust¹⁵ to protect the public's lawful rights and help achieve law-based governance. In practice, the party maintains a dual¹⁶ state and legal system, under which the majority of Chinese people generally enjoy the protection of an increasingly sophisticated body of law and legal institutions, but those deemed a danger to the party-state are handled outside the law.



The party maintains a dual state and legal system, under which the majority of Chinese people generally enjoy the protection of an increasingly sophisticated body of law and legal institutions, but those deemed a danger to the party-state are handled outside the law.

The substantive impact of Xi's push to institutionalize and legalize party leadership over everything is not clear. To date, the party appears to view its leadership as primarily political and seeks to promote a professionalized, efficient, and effective state, economy and legal sector. It is sending mixed messages, however. Emphasizing party leadership raises concerns about increased politicization of decisionmaking, with less transparency and accountability, potentially threatening not only

economic and social development, but also the rule-of-law project that is intended to enhance party legitimacy. The party's conditional attitude toward law, reflected in its continued resort to extra-legal means in dealing with perceived enemies, creates uncertainty over the reliability of the party-state's legal commitments both at home and abroad.

THE PARTY'S RELATIONSHIP TO STATE LAW

Under China's one-party system, the relationship of the party with state law and the legal system is complex and characterized by contention and duality. The party has always exercised *de facto* control of state affairs, through a variety of mechanisms including personnel appointments and party organizations that parallel and intertwine with the state structure. But its constitutional and legal status¹⁷ have generally been left ambiguous.¹⁸ The interplay of formal (legal) as opposed to informal (societal) ordering that characterized traditional Chinese thought¹⁹ and the revolutionary period²⁰ prior to the PRC's establishment in 1949 is evident in the contemporary juxtaposition of formal state law on the one hand, and extra-legal — but increasingly ordered and more broadly applicable — party regulations on the other. These target ideological and organizational matters, as opposed to strictly legal behavior, and may be used to justify extra-legal coercive measures for “sensitive” or “exceptional”²¹ cases.

Following the violent and lawless Cultural Revolution politics of 1966-76, the party under Deng Xiaoping determined in December 1978²² to establish a modern legal system to facilitate social and economic development, including foreign trade and investment under its Opening and Reform policy, and prevent a return to arbitrary one-man rule. Since then, “legalization” has been intertwined²³ with China's political, economic, and social modernization.

The party's organizational charter (章程, which it translates as its constitution²⁴ but will be called the “charter” here to distinguish it from the state constitution), has since 1956 required party organizations and members to abide by state law. The charter as last revised in October 2017 by the 19th Party Congress currently stipulates in its preamble

that the party must “act within the framework of the country's constitution and the law”; preserve the “organic unity of Party leadership, the running of the country by the people, and law-based governance”; develop a socialist rule-of-law system with Chinese characteristics and build a socialist rule-of-law country; respect and safeguard human rights; and bring all state work under the rule of law.

The charter's main body more specifically requires party members to have legal knowledge, set a good example in abiding by — and assisting their work units to abide by — laws and regulations, and handle matters in accordance with law. It forbids using against party members any measure that violates the law or the party charter, while requiring that any offending organizations and individuals be held accountable according to law, as well as party discipline. Party members who seriously violate criminal law must be expelled.

On the state side, the preamble to the state constitution²⁵ (宪法) — the making²⁶ and amending of which is controlled by the party²⁷ — has always extolled the party's historical and political leadership. However, in keeping with Soviet law traditions from which the initial 1954 constitution drew,²⁸ the party was not mentioned in its main body for most of PRC history, save for the short-lived 1975 and 1978 constitutions that constitutionalized party leadership and Chinese citizens' obligation to support it. Indeed, the constitution has stipulated since 1982, post-Opening and Reform, that everyone, including “all political parties,” must abide by the constitution and that no “organization or individual is privileged to be beyond the Constitution or the law.” Even while the party under Xi has denounced Western-style constitutionalism,²⁹ Xi reaffirmed this language in his October 2017 report to the 19th Party Congress,³⁰ and it remains in the constitution as revised in March 2018.

Seemingly contradicting the party's leading position, Xi elaborated in that report on the supremacy of the constitution and law. He advocated for increasing public awareness of “the principle underlying rule of law that the Constitution and the law are above everything else and that everyone is equal before the law.” He reminded fellow party members that “no organization or individual has the power to overstep

the Constitution or the law; and no one in a position of power is allowed in any way to override the law with his own orders, place his authority above the law, violate the law for personal gain, or abuse the law.” In so doing, Xi appeared to accord the Chinese term officially translated as “rule of law” (法治) a meaning similar to the Western notion that public as well as private power is constrained by law. However, the party’s concept is intertwined with the more complex notion of “socialist rule of law with Chinese characteristics”: State law reflects the will of the party codified through state legislative processes, and the party thus abides by the law it creates.³¹

The 1982 decision to return to the socialist convention that Deng favored — of not mentioning the party in the constitution’s main text — reportedly reflected³² a recognition among some party leaders that, since party membership constitutes a minority of the Chinese citizenry (roughly 6% currently), it was more legitimate for the party to operate informally. The current Central Committee, which Xi leads, apparently disagreed, when it decided³³ to write party leadership into Article 1 of the constitution’s main body as the “defining feature of socialism with Chinese characteristics.”

That March 2018 amendment,³⁴ providing the party with formal constitutional status, together with a companion amendment establishing a new branch of government to consolidate the party’s anti-corruption³⁵ efforts and a massive reorganization that merged an array of party and state entities, reflects a fundamental turn toward integration of the party with the state and state law. This is being carried out in several ways: strengthening the quasi-parallel body of intraparty regulations; increasing party or joint party-state regulation that encroaches into areas formerly occupied primarily by state law; writing the party’s role in state governance expressly into state law; merging selected state bodies with their party counterparts, thus removing them from the reach of state law and remedies; and emphasizing party-building and the role of party organizations in all public and private entities. This institutionalization and legalization of party leadership³⁶ is a major shift under Xi. While the practical impact³⁷ is not clear, it raises concerns over official accountability, transparency, and the entire rule-of-law project more generally.

INTRAPARTY REGULATIONS

“Law-based governance” means not only governing in accordance with the constitution and law, but also that the party and its members are strictly governed by the party’s own intraparty regulations³⁸ (党内法规) that dictate ideological, organizational, and operational behavior. Indeed, the party under Xi views regulation-based party governance (依规治党) as a precondition³⁹ for good state governance. The party’s charter is the most fundamental intraparty regulation.

The intraparty regulation system has been linked with Xi’s vow to restrain “power in a cage of regulations.”⁴⁰ “One must build a good cage,” Xi instructed: “If the cage is too loose, or is very good but the door is not closed, and one is free to go in and out, then that is of no use.”⁴¹ The party, like the State Council it leads, recently amended its own rulemaking and archival regulations,⁴² — reportedly referred to collectively as the party’s “legislation law”⁴³ — and introduced the use of five-year⁴⁴ rulemaking plans,⁴⁵ similar to the five-year lawmaking agendas⁴⁶ formulated by the National People’s Congress (NPC) Standing Committee and annual ones⁴⁷ compiled by state bodies. The party now requires licensed lawyers to advise both party and state organs⁴⁸ on rulemakings and major decisions. It also undertook the first comprehensive review of its regulations and continues⁴⁹ to “clean up” and supplement them, again analogous to the periodic rectification (清理) of state regulations.⁵⁰ Xi’s rewriting of the party rulebook⁵¹ exceeds that of his predecessors and is centralizing and personalizing party authority.⁵²

Intraparty regulations are not simply a parallel system only impacting the 90 million party members.⁵³ Under Xi, they increasingly have been jointly issued with the State Council or regulate areas formerly managed by the state. Jointly issued regulations traditionally focused primarily on managing officials in party and state organs,⁵⁴ where party members constitute⁵⁵ 80% of civil servants and 95% of leading officials. Their scope has been extended to also address such issues as law-based government,⁵⁶ statistical fraud,⁵⁷ and environmental protection.⁵⁸ In other cases, party-dominated dual-facing entities like the Cyberspace Administration of China⁵⁹ — otherwise known as the Office of the Central Cyberspace Affairs Commission established under Xi in 2014 — issue state rules⁶⁰ in their state capacity.

The party also issues policy documents called “opinions,” “decisions,” “plans,” or “notices,” often jointly with the State Council. The number of party and joint party-State Council policy documents has also increased under Xi. They cover everything from legal services,⁶¹ private enterprise financing,⁶² social credit,⁶³ education,⁶⁴ food safety,⁶⁵ government work inspections,⁶⁶ and more. Such documents often provide the policy basis for subsequent legislation and are sometimes cited as the direct basis — rather than a law — for government rules.

Jointly issued party-State Council regulations are considered intraparty regulations and thus exempted⁶⁷ from participation⁶⁸ and transparency⁶⁹ requirements applicable to state legislation. The continued institutionalization of open government⁷⁰ mechanisms like access to government records and public participation in government decisionmaking, which Chinese citizens and civic organizations⁷¹ use to engage with the state, is one of the most significant Chinese legal reforms of the last 40 years. The party is subject, instead, to the less rigorous requirements for party rulemaking⁷² and transparency.⁷³ While it has selectively improved public disclosure, including of meetings⁷⁴ and activities, the party has published only half of hundreds of documents reportedly approved through June 2019 by the party’s Deepening Reform⁷⁵ and Law-Based Governance⁷⁶ Commissions established under Xi. Moreover, although certain party decisions can be challenged internally, the party cannot be held accountable to the general public like state agencies, which may be sued⁷⁷ for failure to perform statutory obligations, including information disclosure.⁷⁸

LEGALIZING THE PARTY’S ROLE

In addition to constitutionalizing party leadership and using intraparty regulations to regulate state matters, the party’s leadership role — and obligations relating to the party — are increasingly being written into laws and regulations. China’s 2000 Legislation Law⁷⁹ was the first law to specifically mention the party,⁸⁰ providing that legislation should adhere to party leadership. China’s Company Law⁸¹ has stipulated since 2005 that party organizations should be established in all companies, which are to “provide necessary conditions” for party organization activities. Since Xi took office,

the party’s leadership role was similarly codified in the 2014 Counter-Espionage Law,⁸² 2015 National Security Law,⁸³ 2017 National Intelligence Law,⁸⁴ and 2018 Supervision Law⁸⁵ targeting anti-corruption. Some of these laws delegate to party entities certain policy and oversight functions, as would the draft Encryption Law,⁸⁶ as well. State Council Volunteer Service Regulations⁸⁷ require party organizations be established in and provided necessary conditions by volunteer organizations, while draft 2018 private school⁸⁸ regulations would further require that party organizations participate in major decisionmaking and policymaking bodies.

Three recent sets of State Council procedures — on formulating administrative regulations,⁸⁹ government rules,⁹⁰ and government decisionmaking⁹¹ on major policies and projects — not only specify party leadership but also require certain party consents for designated documents, including annual rulemaking plans and major project catalogues, and when finalizing important documents. Party oversight is not new,⁹² but is now more explicit. However, similar to NPC lawmaking, enhanced party leadership in State Council rulemaking and decisionmaking⁹³ is accompanied by requirements for greater expert input, transparency, and public participation, including 30-day public comment periods for drafts, which increase government accountability to the public.

More worrisome is the specific grant of state powers to the party for the first time⁹⁴ since the Cultural Revolution, under the 2015 National Security Law. That law authorizes the party’s secretive National Security Commission⁹⁵ — established in 2013 and chaired by Xi⁹⁶ — to, among other things, deploy state emergency measures when national security is endangered.

WHAT DOES PARTY LEADERSHIP MEAN?

Up until 2017, the party charter’s preamble defined party “leadership” to mean “mainly political, ideological and organizational leadership,” which official sources⁹⁷ explained meant that the “Party does not take the place of the government in the state’s leadership system.” The 2017 charter replaced that definition with a more expansive but imprecise description of party leadership as “the most essential

attribute of socialism with Chinese characteristics, and the greatest strength of this system,” adding that the “Party exercises overall leadership over all areas of endeavor in every part of the country.”

The Politburo has justified⁹⁸ party leadership as consistent with the rule of law, since only party leadership can properly advance law-based governance. Whereas most Xi-era documents refer to the party’s “overall,” “authoritative,” and “centralized, unified” leadership, a January 2019 intraparty regulation⁹⁹ requires political-legal units to follow the party’s “absolute” leadership and align law-based governance of the country with intraparty regulation-based governance of the party. It is not clear what “absolute leadership” — also required of the military¹⁰⁰ — means in the context of political-legal work, which is carried out by the courts and procuratorates, as well as public security,¹⁰¹ state security, and justice authorities. The party explicitly maintains¹⁰² that judicial institutions must exercise their power in an independent and fair manner in line with the law, and the party has prohibited¹⁰³ leading officials from interfering in specific cases upon threat of disciplinary and criminal liability. Many documents, including Xi’s 19th Party Congress report,¹⁰⁴ suggest that party leadership is still intended to be primarily political and that state entities — including the political-legal bodies — continue to be expected to perform their functions autonomously and professionally.

The party has historically exercised its leadership in various ways, often behind cover of the state, using state legal processes to convert its decisions into state action. It continues to lead administrative, judicial, legislative, and other work through the appointment of party members to leadership positions in those institutions, through party organizations embedded in them, and through intraparty regulations.

The party — like the State Council — has also long formed leadership small groups (LSGs), comprised of high-level party members from relevant bodies, to collectively tackle specific issue areas such as finance, cybersecurity, and foreign affairs. The number of these, often headed by Xi himself, has proliferated¹⁰⁵ under Xi. The 2013 Party Plenum¹⁰⁶ established a Comprehensively Deepening Reform LSG headed by Xi, under which a sub-group devoted to judicial reform helped push through a series of significant¹⁰⁷ court,

procuratorate, and legal profession initiatives. The 19th Party Congress endorsed¹⁰⁸ setting up yet another LSG, for “advancing law-based governance in all areas,” to provide unified party leadership over the rule-of-law initiative and ensure “scientific lawmaking, strict law enforcement, impartial administration of justice and observance of the law by everyone.”

These two LSGs were elevated to commission status in the 2018 party and state restructuring that also merged¹⁰⁹ a number of party and state bodies. The party’s institutional reform decision¹¹⁰ — ostensibly to achieve greater efficiency and coordination¹¹¹ and modernize governance¹¹² — along with its restructuring plan¹¹³ significantly expand regulation by or under the direction of more opaque party bodies. Regulation of film, news media, and publications was given solely to the party Central Propaganda Department,¹¹⁴ while managing overseas Chinese, as well as religious and ethnic affairs, moved directly under the party’s United Front Work Department.¹¹⁵ The Party Organization Department,¹¹⁶ which appoints senior party and state officials, picked up sole jurisdiction over public-sector reform and China’s civil service.¹¹⁷ The Legislative Affairs Office, formerly charged with drafting laws and national regulations, was removed from the State Council, and its functions dispersed and merged with the Ministry of Justice, which also now houses the party’s Central Law-based Governance Commission¹¹⁸ office, directed by the head of the party’s Central Political and Legal Affairs Commission.¹¹⁹ The National Academy of Governance, which trains government officials, moved into the Central Party School,¹²⁰ which trains party cadres.

This restructuring also followed moves under Xi for the party to take more direct charge in important areas through establishing new institutions, like the party National Security Commission,¹²¹ tasked with policymaking and certain state powers; the Central Cyberspace Affairs Commission headed by Xi, the office of which doubles¹²² as the state Cyberspace Administration of China;¹²³ and the National Supervision Commission (NSC),¹²⁴ co-located and merged with the powerful party Central Commission for Discipline Inspection.¹²⁵

Creation of the NSC¹²⁶ — approved by the party and accorded constitutional status and its own organic law by the NPC in March 2018 — was intended to legalize¹²⁷

the party's ongoing anti-corruption campaign, as well as expand it beyond party members to all public personnel who exercise public power. Instead, the NSC epitomizes the legal challenges arising from the integration of party and state bodies more generally. Such merged or co-located bodies, dominated by their party counterparts, potentially lie outside the reach of state criminal and administrative law – including China's transparency and due process requirements for imposing obligations and penalties – and any judicial review of their actions. Party leadership exercised through this kind of party-state functional integration, which has increased under Xi, goes beyond political leadership and places nominally state action outside the law on which both party and state action are to be based.

Party leadership is also exercised through specific tasks of central, local- and primary-level party organizations in state organs, the courts and procuratorate, party-led people's organizations, state-owned and private enterprises, social organizations, law firms,¹²⁸ and other non-party entities. The party charter has long called for primary-level party organizations to be established whenever an entity has three or more members. Party-building through strengthening primary-level party organizations is a priority for Xi.

The party is pushing to give party-building “legal status” (法定地位) of a sort. For example, a 2016 joint party-State Council instruction¹²⁹ calls for “inclusion of Party building in the charters of social organizations” and stipulates that such party organizations should provide opinions on major decisions, important activities, large expenditures, and foreign-related activities. Draft 2018 regulations¹³⁰ would implement these instructions by requiring social organizations, as a matter of law, to establish party organizations and include party-building commitments in their charters.

The party also requires, as a matter of policy¹³¹ rather than law, enhanced legal status for party organizations in state-owned enterprises (SOEs), over 90%¹³² of which host such organizations. The charter has long ordered party organizations to participate in major decisionmaking of SOEs and collective enterprises. In 2017, the State Council directed¹³³ SOEs to clarify in their articles of association the legal status of party organizations, including their right to participate in

decisionmaking,¹³⁴ in order to help improve SOE efficiency and productivity. Moreover, a recent party regulation requires leading party members in central SOEs to hold board and other management positions and first decide major economic and management matters, before the board or management group makes a final decision.

SOEs that have foreign investors¹³⁵ have been pressured to make similar adjustments. More than 30 Hong Kong-listed SOEs¹³⁶ had reportedly written the party's role into their charters as of September 2017. This more assertive policy on party involvement in corporate decisionmaking raises concerns¹³⁷ among foreign business partners, particularly since the party currently emphasizes political integrity of its officials over competence.¹³⁸

To date, this party “legalization” requirement has not been formally imposed on non-SOE companies. Although all Chinese companies, including private and foreign-invested ones, have been legally required¹³⁹ since 2005 to establish and provide “necessary conditions” for party organizations, recent laws¹⁴⁰ and policies¹⁴¹ relevant to private companies (including the Foreign Investment Law,¹⁴² adopted March 2019) make no mention of the party or party organizations. Moreover, the 2017 charter tasks party organizations in “non-public economic organizations” to carry out party policies and ensure observance of law and healthy enterprise development, but does not stipulate party leadership or require party participation in decisionmaking.

Earlier proposals by the party-state to purchase “special management shares”¹⁴³ in private technology companies and secure a board seat appear not to have gone forward. The party must balance its desire for control against providing necessary space for the innovation so vital for economic growth. It acknowledges that the private sector contributes¹⁴⁴ over 50% of taxes, 60% of domestic gross domestic product (GDP), 70% of technical innovation, and 80% of urban labor employment. Indeed, the number of party committees in private companies appears to have decreased from 2017 to 2018. Nonetheless, the informal push for party-building in¹⁴⁵ – and writing the party into legal documents of¹⁴⁶ – private companies continues.¹⁴⁷

Xi has given seemingly conflicting interpretations of what strengthened party leadership of SOEs¹⁴⁸ means. He has advocated that SOEs are important forces to implement party decisions, enhance economic and social development, and realize major strategies such as his signature Belt and Road Initiative.¹⁴⁹ But he also indicated that party organizations in SOEs should “aim to improve corporate profitability, competitiveness and the value of state assets.”

From a foreign investor’s perspective, requiring SOEs, their joint ventures with foreign companies, and potentially private companies with which they might deal to formalize the role of their party organizations raises concerns over whether party-state priorities might interfere with normal profit-seeking goals.¹⁵⁰ Another key concern is whether explicitly requiring party representatives to approve major decisions before submitting them to the board of directors, which is a company’s highest decisionmaking body, might compromise the board’s fiduciary obligations, without any corresponding party accountability.¹⁵¹ The push to legalize party decisionmaking authority in companies further blurs the line¹⁵² between the party and the market, as well as the party and the state, and could compound the difficulty of convincing other governments and investors to treat Chinese SOEs and private companies as independent market entities.

IMPLICATIONS OF PARTY LEADERSHIP AND ITS LEGALIZATION

Some commentators¹⁵³ have argued that Xi’s unexpected amendment of the state constitution in March 2018 to remove term limits for the presidency in fact reflects his appreciation of law’s legitimating power.¹⁵⁴ The argument¹⁵⁵ goes that, while Xi is China’s most autocratic leader in decades, he has also paradoxically empowered legal institutions — including the courts, legislature, and regulatory authorities — to operate semi-autonomously under strengthened legal procedures and oversight, as well as more explicit party leadership. The amendment that formally constitutionalizes party leadership and other moves to write the party’s role into law may provide further evidence of Xi’s and the party’s quest for legitimacy through legalizing one-party rule, while not substantively changing its traditional political leadership role.

The 2017 charter does stipulate the party must ensure that state legislative, judicial, administrative, and supervisory organs work independently and responsibly. Party organizations in state organs should assist and supervise chief administrators, but specifically may not lead the organs’ professional work. The party continues to professionalize the legislative process and strengthen the regulatory state and its accountability, through greater transparency in decisionmaking, enforcement, and adjudication. Thus, exercise of party leadership in the legislative and rulemaking arena may, in practice, prove to be somewhat akin to the Office of Management and Budget’s role in reviewing significant U.S. federal agency action to ensure it is consistent with presidential policy priorities. And the principle that the courts and procuratorates should handle cases independently¹⁵⁶ was maintained in the constitution’s 2018 revisions and relevant laws,¹⁵⁷ and is enforced through a party regulation¹⁵⁸ requiring reporting of and discipline for interference by leading officials in judicial cases.



It is concerning...that party leadership is encroaching increasingly on the normal state and legal system.

It is concerning, however, that party leadership is encroaching increasingly on the normal state and legal system. It portends not only increased politicization at the expense of professionalism, but also decreased state transparency and less opportunity for the Chinese public to exercise the participation and orderly contestation procedures for restraining state action that the PRC legal system increasingly affords.¹⁵⁹ This trend may well threaten the party’s decades’-long effort to foster the rule of law and law-based governance that it hopes will bolster its legitimacy.

The persistence of the “exceptional” state, recently expanded by the merged discipline and supervision system, is also at odds with the party’s legitimation-through-legality project. It seems to be rooted in the Maoist concept of two types of contradictions:¹⁶⁰ those among the people and antagonistic ones “between ourselves and the enemy.” Mao Zedong in 1957¹⁶¹ defined the “enemy” to mean social forces and groups

that resist the socialist revolution and are “hostile to or sabotage socialist construction.” This concept, set forth in preambles to the party charter since 1973, appeared in the January 2019 directive on political-legal work,¹⁶² which urges political-legal units to strictly distinguish and correctly handle the two types of contradictions. Under “socialist rule of law with Chinese characteristics,” the party-state still explicitly reserves to itself the right to operate outside state law “when it interferes with the imperative to eliminate its perceived enemies.”¹⁶³

The party-state’s “normal” legal system—which handles the day-to-day matters that comprise the majority of commercial, civil, criminal, and administrative cases — is maturing both substantively and procedurally. It is also increasingly “offering rules-based solutions to a wide range of social conflicts.”¹⁶⁴ Xi has stated the PRC should continue to learn from, but not copy, “beneficial” foreign rule-of-law experience¹⁶⁵ to improve and develop laws and procedures that deal effectively and fairly with the complex array of issues all countries must address in today’s world. The party promotes the Chinese people’s rights to know about, participate in, express views on, and supervise¹⁶⁶ government action. It continues to popularize law,¹⁶⁷ and cultivate a cultural of legality¹⁶⁸ among both the general public and the party-state that is building expectations and demands for the rule of law.



No standards for determining when one crosses the line to become the “enemy” are publicly available.

The coexistence of that “normal” state with the party’s “exceptional” state, fostering a conditional attitude toward how law is applied, is what is particularly problematic. No standards for determining when one crosses the line to become the “enemy” are publicly available. No process seems to exist to guarantee access for such “enemies” to independent counsel to help challenge, or to an independent body to review, the determination that places one outside the protection of the law. Corruption suspects may be subjected to secretive, coercive detention under the Supervision Law,¹⁶⁹ which denies them the right to a

lawyer or recourse to a court to contest such detention. Activist lawyers disappear into prolonged detention.¹⁷⁰ The Xinjiang authorities point to regulations that do not actually provide a legal basis¹⁷¹ for the extra-judicial detention being meted out to over a million detainees¹⁷² in the name of counterterrorism.¹⁷³

As the United States and China seek to resolve¹⁷⁴ their complex bilateral trade, investment, and other differences, assertive party leadership and its potential politicization of decisionmaking impact perceptions of whether the PRC can truly guarantee a “stable, transparent and predictable market environment,”¹⁷⁵ and ensure the promised “competitive neutrality”¹⁷⁶ that would require its SOEs to compete with private businesses on a level playing field.¹⁷⁷ The party’s insistence on legalizing its political status increases uncertainty over whether U.S. companies can trust their Chinese SOE and nominally private business partners not to place the political agenda of the ruling party over their mutual business interests. The party’s maintenance of an exceptional state raises questions as to whether the U.S. government can rely on PRC commitments, even when codified into law.

The party is managing an incredibly complex, dynamic, pluralistic, and increasingly sophisticated citizenry in a time of significant challenges domestically — a slowing economy, a demographic time-bomb,¹⁷⁸ and severe air, water and soil pollution, to list just a few. It also faces a difficult international arena in which the PRC is inextricably involved, and where it seeks a more persuasive voice. The party under Xi believes it needs to concentrate power and tighten its control to stave off disaster at home and create a conducive environment abroad. It increasingly looks to the organizational and legitimizing capacity¹⁷⁹ of an open, professionalizing legal system to help ensure continued economic growth and social stability. Ironically, the party’s heightened involvement in state governance without corresponding legal accountability for party-driven actions — and its continued resort to exceptional, extra-legal measures to deal with its perceived enemies — may undermine the very stability of expectations and trust, both at home and abroad, that it needs to succeed.

REFERENCES

- 1 Jerome Cohen, "China's Changing Constitution," (Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business, Spring 1979), <https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1045&context=njilb>.
- 2 "Full Text of Xi Jinping's report at 19th CPC National Congress," *China Daily*, November 4, 2017, http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/china/19thcpcnationalcongress/2017-11/04/content_34115212.htm.
- 3 "CCP Central Committee Decision concerning Some Major Questions Comprehensively Moving Governing the Country According to the Law Forward," *China Copyright and Media*, October 30, 2014, <https://chinacopyrightandmedia.wordpress.com/2014/10/28/ccp-central-committee-decision-concerning-some-major-questions-in-comprehensively-moving-governing-the-country-according-to-the-law-forward/>.
- 4 "CCP Central Committee Decision concerning Some Major Questions Comprehensively Moving Governing the Country According to the Law Forward."
- 5 "Full text of resolution on CPC Central committee report," *Xinhuanet*, October 24, 2017, http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2017-10/24/c_136702625.htm.
- 6 "Regulation on the Communist Party of China's Political-Legal Work," *China Law Translate*, January 18, 2019, <https://www.chinalawtranslate.com/中国共产党政法工作条例/?lang=en>.
- 7 Jerome Cohen, "A Chinese lawyer remains isolated in prison. We must join his wife in demanding justice," *The Washington Post*, May 29, 2019, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/2019/05/29/chinese-lawyer-remains-isolated-prison-we-must-join-his-wife-demanding-justice/>.
- 8 Donald Clarke, "No, New Xinjiang Legislation Does not Legalize Detention Centers," *Lawfare*, October 11, 2018, <https://www.lawfareblog.com/no-new-xinjiang-legislation-does-not-legalize-detention-centers>.
- 9 Shan Yuxiao and Ren Qiuyu, "Rights Lawyer Loses Appeal in License Suspension Case," *Caixin*, August 8, 2019, <https://www.caixinglobal.com/2019-08-08/rights-lawyer-loses-appeal-in-license-suspension-case-101448840.html>.
- 10 Yu-Jie Chen and Jerome Cohen, "Freedom from Arbitrary Detention in Asia: Lessons from China, Taiwan and Hong Kong" (Oxford Handbook of Constitutional Law in Asia, January 15, 2018), https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3275169.
- 11 Adrian Zenz, "You Can't Force People to Assimilate. So Why Is China at It Again?," *The New York Times*, July 16, 2019, <https://www.nytimes.com/2019/07/16/opinion/china-xinjiang-repression-uighurs-minorities-backfire.html>.
- 12 Edward Wong, "Trump Signs Law Punishing Chinese Officials Who Restrict Access to Tibet," *The New York Times*, December 21, 2018, <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/12/21/world/asia/trump-china-tibet.html>.
- 13 Editorial Board, "A professor at China's premier university questioned Xi Jinping. then he was suspended," *The Washington Post*, March 28, 2019, https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/global-opinions/a-professor-at-chinas-premier-university-questioned-xi-jinping-then-he-was-suspended/2019/03/28/c64a34c2-50d5-11e9-8d28-f5149e5a2fda_story.html.
- 14 Gerry Shih, "'If I disappear': Chinese students make farewell messages amid crackdowns over labor activism," *The Washington Post*, May 25, 2019, https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/asia-pacific/if-i-disappear-chinese-students-make-farewell-messages-amid-crackdowns-over-labor-activism-/2019/05/25/6fc949c0-727d-11e9-9331-30bc5836f48e_story.html.

- 15 “Decision of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of China on Some Major Issues Concerning Comprehensively Deepening the Reform,” *China.org*, January 16, 2014, http://www.china.org.cn/china/third_plenary_session/2014-01/16/content_31212602.htm.
- 16 “Decision of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of China on Some Major Issues Concerning Comprehensively Deepening the Reform.”
- 17 “Zhu Jiamu: Some people advocate the “party registration theory” is illegal and unconstitutional,” June 28, 2016, <http://www.cwzg.cn/theory/201606/29069.html>.
- 18 Jianfu Chen, “Out of the shadows and back to the future: CPC and law in China,” (*Asia Pacific Law Review* December 22, 2016), <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10192557.2016.1242922>.
- 19 David Schneider, “China’s Legalist Revival,” *The National Interest*, April 20, 2016, <https://nationalinterest.org/feature/chinas-legalist-revival-15845>.
- 20 Shao-Chuan Leng, “The Role of Law in the People’s Republic of China as Reflecting Mao Tse-Tung’s Influence,” (*Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology* Fall 1977), <https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=6029&context=jclc>.
- 21 Hualing Fu, “Touching the Proverbial Elephant: The Multiple Shades of Chinese Law,” *China perspectives*, 2019, <https://journals.openedition.org/chinaperspectives/8629>.
- 22 “Communique of the Third Plenary Session of the 11th Central Committee of the Communist Party of China,” *The Beijing Review*, December 29, 1978, http://www.bjreview.com/Special_Reports/2018/40th_Anniversary_of_Reform_and_Opening_up/Timeline/201806/t20180626_800133641.html.
- 23 Jamie P. Horsley, “The Rule of Law: Pushing the Limits of Party Rule,” in Joseph Fewsmith, ed., *China Today, China Tomorrow: Domestic Politics, Economy and Society* (Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, 2010), <https://law.yale.edu/china-center/publications/recent-staff-publications>.
- 24 “Full Text of Constitution of Communist Party of China,” *Xinhua 19th CPC National Congress*, November 3, 2017, http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/special/2017-11/03/c_136725945.htm.
- 25 National People’s Congress, “Constitution of the People’s Republic of China,” March 11, 2018.
- 26 Neil J. Diamant and Xiaocai Feng, “The PRC’s First National Critique: The 1954 Campaign to ‘Discuss the Draft Constitution’,” *The China Journal* 73 (January 2015), <https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/679267>.
- 27 “CCP Central Committee Decision concerning Some Major Questions in Comprehensively Moving Governing the Country According to the Law Forward.”
- 28 Jianfu Chen, “Out of the shadows and back to the future: CPC and law in China.”
- 29 Charlotte Gao, “Xi: China Must Never Adopt Constitutionalism, Separation of Powers, or Judicial Independence,” *The Diplomat*, February 19, 2019, <https://thediplomat.com/2019/02/xi-china-must-never-adopt-constitutionalism-separation-of-powers-or-judicial-independence/>.
- 30 “Full text of Xi Jinping’s report at 19th National Congress.”
- 31 Hualing Fu, “China’s Striking Anti-Corruption Adventure: A Political Journey Towards the Rule of Law?,” in *The Beijing consensus? How China Has Changed the Western Ideas of Law and Economic Development*, ed. Weitseng Chen (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017).

- 32 Jianfu Chen, “Out of the shadows and back to the future: CPC and law in China.”
- 33 “Translation: Communist Party’s Proposals for Amending the P.R.C Constitution (2018),” *NPC Observer*, February 26, 2018, <https://npcobserver.com/2018/02/25/translation-communist-partys-proposals-for-amending-the-p-r-c-constitution-2018/>.
- 34 “Amendment to the Constitution of the People’s Republic of China (2018),” *Invest in China*, November 3, 2018, http://www.fdi.gov.cn/1800000121_39_4866_0_7.html.
- 35 Jamie P. Horsley, “What’s So Controversial About China’s New Anti-Corruption Body?,” *The Diplomat*, May 30, 2018, <https://thediplomat.com/2018/05/whats-so-controversial-about-chinas-new-anti-corruption-body/>.
- 36 《国务院2019年立法工作计划》 [State Council 2019 Annual Legislative Work Plan], PRC Central People’s Government, May 11, 2019, http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/content/2019-05/11/content_5390676.htm.
- 37 Feng Li, “The 2018 Constitutional Amendments,” *China perspectives*, 2019, <https://journals.openedition.org/chinaperspectives/8646>.
- 38 党章党规[CCP Intraparty regulations], *12371.cn*, <http://www.12371.cn/special/dnfg/>.
- 39 Zhang Dongmiao, “CPC calls to set up ‘relatively complete’ regulatory system by 2021,” *Xinhua*, June 25, 2017, http://www.xinhuanet.com//english/2017-06/25/c_136393755.htm.
- 40 An Baijie, “Xi Jinping vows ‘power within cage of regulations’,” *Xinhua*, January 23, 2013, http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/china/2013-01/23/content_16157933.htm.
- 41 “中央修订超50部法规:反腐推动党内法规体系建设” [Central Committee revised over 50 anti-corruption regulations to promote construction of intraparty regulations system], *Sina*, July 1, 2017, <http://news.sina.com.cn/c/nd/2017-07-01/doc-ifyhrxsk1507955.shtml>.
- 42 《中国共产党党内法规制定条例》 [Chinese Communist Party Regulations on Formulating Intraparty Regulations] and 《中国共产党党内法规和规范性文件备案审查规定》 [Chinese Communist Party Provisions on Filing and Checking Intraparty Regulations and Regulatory Documents], both revised August 30, 2019, http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/2019-09/15/content_5430030.htm.
- 43 “Party releases a slew of new rules,” *China Tip Sheet*, September 16, 2016, <https://triviumchina.com/2019/09/16/disappointing-data-china-tip-sheet-september-16-2019>.
- 44 《中央党内法规制定工作五年规划纲要》 [CCP Central Committee Outline of Five-Year Intraparty Regulations Formulation Work Plan], *People’s Daily*, November 28, 2013, <http://cpc.people.com.cn/n/2013/1128/c64387-23679317.html>.
- 45 Zhang Yunbi, “New rules, regulations are coming,” *China Daily*, February 24, 2018, <http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/a/201802/24/WS5a905d19a3106e7dcc13db40.html>.
- 46 “Translation: 13th NPC Standing Committee Five-Year Legislative Plan,” *NPC Observer*, September 7, 2018, <https://npcobserver.com/2018/09/07/translation-13th-npc-standing-committee-five-year-legislative-plan/#more-9714>.
- 47 《国务院2019年立法工作计划》 [State Council 2019 Annual Legislative Work Plan]
- 48 中共中央办公厅国务院办公厅印发《关于推行法律顾问制度和公职律师公司律师制度的意见》 [General Offices of the CCP Central Committee and State Council issue the Opinions regarding Promoting the Legal Consultant, Public Lawyer and Corporate Lawyer Systems], *Xinhua*, June 16, 2016, http://www.xinhuanet.com/politics/2016-06/16/c_1119057810.htm.

- 49 “中共中央印发《中央党内法规制定工作第二个五年规划（2018 - 2022年）》” [CCP Central Committee issued the Second Five-year Plan (2018-2022) of the Central Committee on Formulating Intraparty Regulations] *Xinhua*, February 23, 2018, http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/2018-02/23/content_5268274.htm.
- 50 李克强签署国务院令公布《国务院关于修改部分行政法规的决定》 [Premier Li Keqiang signed and the State Council published the State Council Decision to Amend Some Administrative Regulations], *Xinhua*, September 28, 2018, http://www.gov.cn/guowuyuan/2018-09/28/content_5326381.htm.
- 51 “2018年共印发中央党内法规74部——党内法规制度建设成果丰硕” [CCP Central Committee issued 74 intraparty regulations in 2018 with successful results for constructing the intraparty regulations system], *CPC News*, January 8, 2019, <http://dangjian.people.com.cn/n1/2019/0108/c117092-30508737.html>.
- 52 Minxin Pei, “Rewriting the Rules of the Chinese Party-State: Xi’s Progress in Reinvigorating the CCP,” *China Leadership Monitor*, June 2019, <https://www.prcleader.org/peiclm60>.
- 53 Li Xia, “China Focus: CPC members exceed 90 million,” *Xinhua*, June 30, 2019, http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2019-06/30/c_138186600.htm.
- 54 “中共中央办公厅国务院办公厅印发《地方党政领导干部食品安全责任制规定》” [General Offices of the CCP Central Committee and State Council issued the Provisions regarding the Food Safety Responsibility System for Local Party and Government Cadres], *People’s Daily*, January 8, 2019, http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/2019-02/24/content_5368139.htm.
- 55 Mengjie, “China Focus: Draft supervision law to pave way for Party-led unified anti-graft network,” *Xinhua*, March 13, 2018, http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2018-03/13/c_137036896.htm.
- 56 General Offices of the CCP Central Committee and the State Council, “Provisions on Inspection Efforts on the Establishment of Law-based Government and Implementation of Responsibility,” trans. *China Law Translate*, May 10, 2019, <https://www.chinalawtranslate.com/en/法治政府建设与责任落实督察工作规定/>.
- 57 “中共中央办公厅 国务院办公厅印发《防范和惩治统计造假、弄虚作假督察工作规定》” [General Offices of the CCP Central Committee and the State Council issued the “Provisions on Preventing and Punishing Statistical Fraud and Falsification of Inspection Work”], September 16, 2018, http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/2018-09/16/content_5322492.htm.
- 58 “中共中央办公厅 国务院办公厅印发《中央生态环境保护督察工作规定》” [General Offices of the CCP Central Committee and the State Council issued the “Provisions on Central Ecological Environment Protection Supervision Work”], *Xinhua*, June 17, 2019, http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/2019-06/17/content_5401085.htm.
- 59 Roger Creemers, Paul Triolo, Samm Sacks, Xiaomeng Lu, Graham Webster, “China’s Cyberspace Authorities Set to Gain Clout in Reorganization – ‘Leading Group for Cybersecurity and Informatization Upgraded to ‘Commission’,” *New America DigiChina*, March 26, 2018, <https://www.newamerica.org/cybersecurity-initiative/digichina/blog/chinas-cyberspace-authorities-set-gain-clout-reorganization/>.
- 60 《互联网新闻信息服务管理规定》 [Internet News Information Service Management Regulations], Cyberspace Administration of China, May 2, 2017, http://www.cac.gov.cn/2017-05/02/c_1120902760.htm.
- 61 “中共中央办公厅 国务院办公厅印发《关于加快推进公共法律服务体系建设的意见》” [General Offices of the CCP Central Committee and State Council issued the “Opinions on Accelerating the Construction of the Public Legal Service System”], *Xinhua*, July 10, 2019, http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/2019-07/10/content_5408010.htm.
- 62 “中共中央办公厅 国务院办公厅印发《关于加强金融服务民营企业的若干意见》” [General Offices of the CCP Central Committee and State Council issued the “Several Opinions on Strengthening Financial Services for Private Enterprises”], *Xinhua*, February 14, 2019, http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/2019-02/14/content_5365818.htm.

- 63 “中共中央办公厅国务院办公厅印发《关于加快推进失信被执行人信用监督、警示和惩戒机制建设的意见》” [General Offices of the CCP Central Committee and State Council issued the Opinions on Accelerating the Establishment of Credit Supervision, Warning and Punishment Mechanism for Dishonest Persons Subject to Enforcement], *Xinhua*, September 25, 2016, http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/2016-09/25/content_5111921.htm.
- 64 “China issues plans to modernize education,” *Xinhua*, February 23, 2019, http://english.www.gov.cn/policies/latest_releases/2019/02/23/content_281476535024192.htm.
- 65 “China unveils guideline to toughen the supervision of food safety,” *Xinhua*, February 25, 2019, http://english.www.gov.cn/policies/latest_releases/2019/02/25/content_281476537802932.htm.
- 66 “中共中央办公厅印发《关于统筹规范督查检查考核工作的通知》” [CCP Central Committee General Office issued the Notice on Overall Standardization, Supervision, Inspection and Assessment Work], *Xinhua*, October 9, 2018, http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/2018-10/09/content_5328884.htm.
- 67 “国务院办公厅政府信息与政务公开办公室关于机构改革后政府信息公开申请办理问题的解释” [Government Information and Government Affairs Disclosure Office of the State Council General Office: Interpretation concerning Handling of Requests for Government Information Disclosure after Institutional Reform], PRC State Council, February 11, 2019, http://www.gov.cn/xinwen/2019-02/11/content_5364757.htm.
- 68 Jamie P. Horsley, “China Implements More Participatory Rulemaking Under Communist Party,” *The Regulatory Review*, March 15, 2018, <https://www.theregreview.org/2018/03/15/horsley-china-implements-participatory-rulemaking/>.
- 69 Jamie P. Horsley, “Open government developments in China: Implications for US businesses,” *China Business Review*, July 1, 2019, <https://www.brookings.edu/opinions/open-government-developments-in-china-implications-for-us-businesses/>.
- 70 Jamie P. Horsley, “Open Government Developments in China: Implications for US Businesses.”
- 71 Xiaomei Liu, “社区公民参与立法的途径和程序” [Channels and procedures for community citizens to participate in legislation], <https://www.iolaw.org.cn/web/webwx.asp?id=2071>.
- 72 《中国共产党党内法规制定条例》 [Chinese Communist Party Regulations on Formulating Intraparty Regulations]
- 73 Jamie P. Horsley, “The Chinese Communist Party’s Experiment with Transparency,” *The Diplomat*, February 1, 2018, <https://thediplomat.com/2018/02/the-chinese-communist-partys-experiment-with-transparency/>.
- 74 “中央全面深化改革委员会会议” [Meetings of the Central Comprehensively Deepening Reform Commission], *12371.cn*, <http://www.12371.cn/special/zyqmshgldxzhy19/>.
- 75 “中央全面深化改革委员会会议” [Meetings of the Central Comprehensively Deepening Reform Commission].
- 76 “全面依法治国重大决策” [Major Decisions on comprehensively governing the country according to law], Ministry of Justice of the PRC, http://www.chinalaw.gov.cn/Department/node_584.html.
- 77 Administrative Litigation Law, *China Law Translate*, <https://www.chinalawtranslate.com/en/tag/administrative-litigation-law/>.
- 78 “The Supreme People’s Court Provisions on Certain Questions Concerning the Trial of Open Government Information Administrative Cases,” Trans. Jamie P. Horsley, https://law.yale.edu/sites/default/files/area/center/china/document/2011-8_en_spc_ogi_cases_provisions.pdf.

- 79 “Legislation Law of the People’s Republic of China Adopted at the third Session of the Ninth National People’s Congress on March 15, 2000,” http://english1.english.gov.cn/laws/2005-08/20/content_29724.htm.
- 80 Jianfu Chen, “Out of the shadows and back to the future: CPC and law in China.”
- 81 “Company Law of the People’s Republic of China (Revised in 2013),” Standing Committee of the National People’s Congress, December 28, 2013, http://www.fdi.gov.cn/1800000121_39_4814_0_7.html.
- 82 “Counter-espionage Law,” *China Law Translate*, November 3, 2014, <https://www.chinalawtranslate.com/en/anti-espionage/>.
- 83 “National Security Law of the People’s Republic of China,” *China Copyright and Media*, July 2, 2015, <https://chinacopyrightandmedia.wordpress.com/2015/07/01/national-security-law-of-the-peoples-republic-of-china/>.
- 84 “National Intelligence Law of the P.R.C. (2017),” *China Law Translate*, June 27, 2017, <https://www.chinalawtranslate.com/中华人民共和国国家情报法/?lang=en>.
- 85 “Supervision Law of the PRC (2018),” *China Law Translate*, March 21, 2018, <https://www.chinalawtranslate.com/中华人民共和国监察法-（2018年）/?lang=en>.
- 86 “《密码法(草案)》公开征求意见(附全文)” [Encryption Law (draft) published to seek comments (full text)], 《安全内参》 [Security Internal Reference], July 5, 2019, <https://www.secrss.com/articles/11963>.
- 87 “Regulation of Volunteer Service,” *China Law Translate*, September 10, 2017, <https://www.chinalawtranslate.com/志愿服务条例/?lang=en>.
- 88 Ministry of Justice, People’s Republic of China, “中华人民共和国民办教育促进法实施条例（修订草案)(送审稿)” [Regulations Implementing the PRC Private Education Promotion Law(Revised Draft)(Draft for Review)], August 10, 2018, http://www.moj.gov.cn/government_public/content/2018-08/10/tzwj_38281.html.
- 89 “Regulations on Procedures for the Formulation of Administrative Regulations,” trans. Jamie P. Horsley, https://law.yale.edu/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/china/2018_sc_en_rev_admin_regulation_procedure.pdf.
- 90 “Regulations on Procedures for the Formulation of Rules,” trans. Jamie P. Horsley, https://law.yale.edu/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/china/2018_sc_en_rev_rulemaking_procedure_regulations.pdf.
- 91 《中国共产党党内法规制定条例》 [Chinese Communist Party of China Regulations on Formulating Intraparty Regulations].
- 92 “加强政治建设坚持党的领导” [Strengthening Political Construction and Upholding Party Leadership], Hubei National People’s Congress, March 20, 2018, http://www.bjrd.gov.cn/rdzt/llyj/fzlt/201804/t20180413_183349.html.
- 93 Jamie P. Horsley, “Open government developments in China: Implications for US businesses.”
- 94 Jianfu Chen, “Out of the shadows and back to the future: CPC and law in China.”
- 95 Shannon Tiezzi, “China’s National Security Commission Holds First Meeting,” *The Diplomat*, April 16, 2014, <https://thediplomat.com/2014/04/chinas-national-security-commission-holds-first-meeting/>.
- 96 “Xi Jinping to lead national security commission,” *Xinhua*, January 24, 2014, http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/china/2014-01/24/content_17257409.htm.
- 97 “Human Rights in China,” Information Office of the State Council of the People’s Republic of China, <http://www.china.org.cn/e-white/7/index.htm>.

- 98 Cao Desheng, "Party's political, legal leadership highlighted," *China Daily*, December 28, 2018, <http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/a/201812/28/WS5c25259ca310d91214051576.html>.
- 99 "Regulation on the Communist Party of China's Political-Legal Work."
- 100 "Constitution of the Communist Party of China."
- 101 "Regulation on the Communist Party of China's Political-Legal Work."
- 102 Cao Desheng, "Party's political, legal leadership highlighted," *China Daily*, December 28, 2018, <http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/a/201812/28/WS5c25259ca310d91214051576.html>.
- 103 "中共中央办公厅、国务院办公厅印发《领导干部干预司法活动、插手具体案件处理的记录、通报和责任追究规定》" [General Offices of the CCP Central Committee and State Council issued the Provisions on Recording, Notification and Accountability of Leading Cadres Who Interfere with Judicial Activities and the Handling of Specific Cases], March 30, 2015, http://www.gov.cn/guowuyuan/2015-03/30/content_2840521.htm.
- 104 "Full Text of Xi Jinping's report at 19th CPC National Congress."
- 105 Christopher K. Johnson, Scott Kennedy, and Mingda Qin, "Xi's Signature Governance Innovation: The Rise of Leading Small Groups," CSIS, October 17, 2017. <https://www.csis.org/analysis/xis-signature-governance-innovation-rise-leading-small-groups>.
- 106 "Decision of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of China on Some Major Issues Concerning Comprehensively Deepening the Reform."
- 107 Rebecca Liao, "Judicial Reform in China: How Progress Serves the Party," *Foreign Affairs*, February 2, 2017, <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/china/2017-02-02/judicial-reform-china>.
- 108 "CPC to set up central leading group for advancing law-based governance in all areas," *Xinhua*, October 18, 2017, http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/china/19thcpcnationalcongress/2017-10/18/content_33404113.htm.
- 109 Chris Buckley, "China Gives Communist Party More Control Over Policy and Media," *The New York Times*, March 21, 2018, <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/03/21/world/asia/china-communist-party-xi-jinping.html>.
- 110 "CPC issues decision on deepening reform of Party and State institutions," *Xinhua*, March 5, 2018, http://english.www.gov.cn/policies/latest_releases/2018/03/05/content_281476067521228.htm.
- 111 Liu He, "Institutional reform for better governance," *China Daily*, March 15, 2018, <http://usa.chinadaily.com.cn/a/201803/15/WS5aa9a7e1a3106e7dcc141b27.html>.
- 112 "Xi Focus: Xi stresses consolidating achievements in reform of Party, state institutions," *Xinhua*, July 5, 2019, http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2019-07/05/c_138202514.htm.
- 113 "CPC releases plan on deepening reform of Party and state institutions," *Xinhua*, March 21, 2018, http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2018-03/21/c_137055471.htm.
- 114 Ng Yik-tung, Yeung Mak, Yang Fan, Luisetta Mudie, "China's Central Propaganda Department Takes Over Regulation of All Media," *Radio Free Asia*, March 21, 2018, <https://www.rfa.org/english/news/china/china-propaganda-03212018140841.html>.
- 115 Alex Joske, "Reorganizing the United Front Work Department: New Structures for a New Era of Diaspora and Religious Affairs Work," *China Brief* 19, no. 9 (May 9, 2019), <https://jamestown.org/program/reorganizing-the-united-front-work-department-new-structures-for-a-new-era-of-diaspora-and-religious-affairs-work/>.

- 116 Jun Mai, "China unveils bold overhaul to tighten Communist Party control," *South China Morning Post*, March 21, 2018, <https://www.scmp.com/news/china/policies-politics/article/2138291/china-unveils-bold-overhaul-tighten-communist-party>.
- 117 Holly Snape, "Re-Writing the Rules: Assessing Civil Servants' 'Political Quality' Will Influence the Rules they Make for NGOs," *The China NGO Project*, August 1, 2019, <http://www.chinafile.com/ngo/analysis/re-writing-rules>.
- 118 An Baijie, "Party to have stronger rule of law role," *China Daily*, August 25, 2018, <http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/a/201808/25/WS5b808f63a310add14f387b56.html>.
- 119 Sarah Zheng, "Public security minister Guo Shengkun to step up as China's top security chief," *South China Morning Post*, October 31, 2017, <https://www.scmp.com/news/china/policies-politics/article/2117844/public-security-minister-guo-shengkun-step-chinas-top>.
- 120 Gerry Shih, "Inside China's top 'party schools': Plenty of Communist doctrine on tap," *The Washington Post*, July 16, 2019, https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/asia_pacific/inside-chinas-top-party-schools-plenty-of-communist-doctrine-on-tap/2019/07/15/17261a78-9f55-11e9-b27f-ed2942f73d70_story.html?noredirect=on.
- 121 Zhao Kejin, "China's National Security Commission," *Carnegie-Tsinghua Center for Global Policy*, July 14, 2015, <https://carnegietsinghua.org/2015/07/14/china-s-national-security-commission-pub-60637>.
- 122 See, website of the Office of the [Party's] Central Cyberspace Affairs Commission-Cyberspace Administration of China, at <http://www.cac.gov.cn>.
- 123 "Cyberspace Administration of China," *Wikipedia*, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cyberspace_Administration_of_China.
- 124 See, website of the [Party's] Central Discipline Inspection Commission-National Supervision Commission, at <http://www.ccdi.gov.cn>.
- 125 William Wan, "How the secretive, powerful agency in charge of investigating corrupt Chinese officials works," *The Washington Post*, July 3, 2014, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/worldviews/wp/2014/07/03/how-the-communist-party-investigates-its-own/>.
- 126 "Translation: Communist Party's Proposals for Amending the P.R.C. Constitution (2018)."
- 127 Jamie P. Horsley, "What's So Controversial About China's New Anti-Corruption Body?"
- 128 "More Chinese law firms set up Party branches," *Xinhua*, December 21, 2018, http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2018-12/21/c_137690033.htm.
- 129 "Opinion on the Reform of the Social Organization Management System and Promotion of the Healthy and Orderly Development of Social Organizations," *China Law Translate*, August 21, 2016, <https://www.chinalawtranslate.com/en/2officessocialorg/>.
- 130 "Regulations on the Registration of Management of Social Organizations (Draft for Solicitation of Comments)," *China Law Translate*, August 5, 2018, <https://www.chinalawtranslate.com/en/社会组织登记管理条例（草案征求意见稿）/>.
- 131 郭克军 胡冬阳 [Guo Kejun and Hu Dongyang], "国企党建工作进章程——路径及机制分析[Party-building work in state-owned enterprises enters the articles of association: analysis of paths and mechanisms], *Zhonglong*, August 1, 2017, <http://www.zhonglun.com/Content/2017/08-01/1843041618.html>.
- 132 Li Xia, "China Focus: CPC members exceed 90 million."

- 133 国务院办公厅关于进一步完善国有企业法人治理结构的指导意见 [State Council General Office Guiding Opinions on Further Improving the Corporate Governance Structure of State-Owned Enterprises], May 3, 2017, http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/content/2017-05/03/content_5190599.htm.
- 134 中共中央印发《中国共产党党组工作条例》 [CCP Central Committee issues Chinese Communist Party Regulations on Party Organization Work], April 15, 2019, http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/2019-04/15/content_5383062.htm.
- 135 Jake Laband, “Fact Sheet: Communist Party Groups in Foreign Companies in China,” *China Business Review*, May 31, 2018, <https://www.chinabusinessreview.com/fact-sheet-communist-party-groups-in-foreign-companies-in-china/>.
- 136 Jennifer Hughes, “BlackRock and Fidelity put China’s Communists into company laws,” *The Financial Times*, September 7, 2017, <https://www.ft.com/content/e91270a8-9364-11e7-bdfa-eda243196c2c>.
- 137 Alexandra Stevenson, “China’s Communists Rewrite the Rules for Foreign Businesses,” *The New York Times*, April 13, 2018, <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/04/13/business/china-communist-party-foreign-businesses.html>.
- 138 “Xi pledges to make CPC stronger,” *China Daily*, July 4, 2018, <http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/a/201807/04/WS5b3cd0cfa3103349141e0b94.html>.
- 139 “Company Law of the People’s Republic of China (Revised in 2013),” *Standing Committee of the National People’s Congress*, December 28, 2013, http://www.fdi.gov.cn/1800000121_39_4814_0_7.html.
- 140 中华人民共和国中小企业促进法[PRC Small and Medium-Sized Enterprise Promotion Law], adopted as revised by the NPC Standing Committee September 1, 2017, http://www.gov.cn/xinwen/2017-09/02/content_5222120.htm.
- 141 中共中央 国务院关于营造企业家健康成长环境弘扬优秀企业家精神更好发挥企业家作用的意见[CCP Central Committee and State Council Opinions on Creating an Environment for the Healthy Development of Entrepreneurs and Promoting the Spirit of Outstanding Entrepreneurship to Better Give Full Play to the Role of Entrepreneurs], September 25, 2017, http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/2017-09/25/content_5227473.htm.
- 142 “Foreign Investment Law of the People’s Republic of China,” adopted by the National People’s Congress on March 15, 2019, http://www.fdi.gov.cn/1800000121_39_4872_0_7.html.
- 143 Li Yuan, “China Wants to Own Small Stake in Web Firms,” *The Wall Street Journal*, April 27, 2016, https://www.wsj.com/articles/china-wants-to-own-small-stake-in-web-firms-1461781500?mod=article_inline.
- 144 “新时代民营企业党建经验交流会在中央党校(国家行政学院)举办”[Party Building in the New Era Experience Exchange Meeting of Private Enterprises held at Central Party School (National School of Administration), *CPC News*, June 24, 2019, http://dangjian.people.com.cn/n1/2019/0624/c117092-31176698.html?mc_cid=1e6016681f&mc_eid=4f18c05255.
- 145 “新时代民营企业党建经验交流会在中央党校(国家行政学院)举办”[Party Building in the New Era Experience Exchange Meeting of Private Enterprises held at Central Party School (National School of Administration)].
- 146 Alexandra Stevenson, “China’s Communists Rewrite the Rules for Foreign Businesses.”
- 147 Richard McGregor, “How the state runs business in China,” *The Guardian*, July 25, 2019, <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/jul/25/china-business-xi-jinping-communist-party-state-private-enterprise-huawei>.

- 148 “Xi stresses CPC leadership of state-owned enterprises,” *The China Daily*, October 12, 2016, http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/china/2016-10/12/content_27035822.htm.
- 149 Jamie P. Horsley, “Can China Deliver a Better Belt and Road?,” *Foreign Policy*, April 22, 2019, <https://foreignpolicy.com/2019/04/22/965685-china-beltandroad-governance/>.
- 150 Kenji Kawase, “Xi doctrine comes before profit for China’s state-owned companies,” *Nikkei Asian Review*, July 10, 2019, <https://asia.nikkei.com/Spotlight/Cover-Story/Xi-doctrine-comes-before-profit-for-China-s-state-owned-companies>.
- 151 Eric Ng, “Foreign investors will need more clarity on role of Communist Party organisations in listed firms, says report,” *South China Morning Post*, July 25, 2018, <https://www.scmp.com/business/article/2156698/foreign-investors-will-need-more-clarity-role-communist-party-organisations>.
- 152 Ashley Feng, “We Can’t Tell if Chinese Firms Work for the Party,” *Foreign Policy*, February 7, 2019, <https://foreignpolicy.com/2019/02/07/we-cant-tell-if-chinese-firms-work-for-the-party/>.
- 153 Taisu Zhang and Tom Ginsburg, “Legality in Contemporary Chinese Politics,” *Yale Law School*, Public Law Research Paper No. 657, September 17, 2018, https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3250948.
- 154 Susan H. Whiting, “Authoritarian ‘Rule of Law’ and Regime Legitimacy,” *Comparative Political Studies* 50, no. 14 (January 23, 2017), <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0010414016688008>.
- 155 Taisu Zhang, “Maybe the Law Does Actually Matter to Xi Jinping,” *ChinaFile*, March 1, 2018, <http://www.chinafile.com/reporting-opinion/viewpoint/maybe-law-does-actually-matter-xi-jinping>.
- 156 Ian Johnson, “China Grants Courts Greater Autonomy on Limited Matters,” *The New York Times*, January 2, 2016, <https://www.nytimes.com/2016/01/03/world/asia/china-grants-courts-greater-autonomy-on-limited-matters.html?action=click&module=RelatedCoverage&pgtype=Article®ion=Footer>.
- 157 “Organic Law of the People’s Courts of the P.R.C. (2018 Revision),” *China Law Translate*, October 26, 2018, <https://www.chinalawtranslate.com/en/中华人民共和国人民法院组织法（2018修订）/>.
- 158 中共中央办公厅、国务院办公厅印发《领导干部干预司法活动、插手具体案件处理的记录、通报和责任追究规定》 [General Offices of the CCP Central Committee and State Council issued the Provisions on Recording, Notification and Accountability of Leading Cadres Who Interfere with Judicial Activities and the Handling of Specific Cases].
- 159 Jamie P. Horsley, “Open Government Developments in China: Implications for US Businesses.”
- 160 Ira Belkin, “Maoist attitude to dissent is blocking China’s road to the rule of law,” *South China Morning Post*, April 8, 2013, <https://www.scmp.com/comment/insight-opinion/article/1209229/maoist-attitude-dissent-blocking-chinas-road-rule-law>.
- 161 Mao Zedong, “On the correct handling of contradictions among the people,” (speech, Beijing, February 27, 1957), https://www.marxists.org/reference/archive/mao/selected-works/volume-5/mswv5_58.htm.
- 162 “Regulation on the Communist Party of China’s Political-Legal Work.”
- 163 Ira Belkin, “Maoist attitude to dissent is blocking China’s road to the rule of law.”
- 164 Hualing Fu, “Touching the Proverbial Elephant: The Multiple Shades of Chinese Law.”

- 165 “CCP Central Committee Decision concerning Some Major Questions in Comprehensively Moving Governing the Country According to the Law Forward.”
- 166 “Full text of Xi Jinping’s report at 19th National Congress.”
- 167 全国普法办公室关于印发《2019年全国普法依法治理工作要点》的通知[PRC Ministry of Justice Office of National Law Popularization Notice on Main Points of National Law Popularization and Law-based Governance Work in 2019], April 3, 2019, http://www.moj.gov.cn/government_public/content/2019-04/03/tzjw_232007.html.
- 168 Fei Yan, “The Contentious Public Sphere: Law, Media, and Authoritarian Rule in China,” *Studies of Transition States and Societies* 11, no. 1, <http://publications.tlu.ee/index.php/stss/article/view/706/625>.
- 169 Jamie P. Horsley, “What’s So Controversial About China’s New Anti-Corruption Body?”
- 170 Alex W. Palmer, “‘Flee at Once’: China’s Besieged Human Rights Lawyers,” *The New York Times Magazine*, July 25, 2017, <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/07/25/magazine/the-lonely-crusade-of-chinas-human-rights-lawyers.html>.
- 171 “XJ Education Centers Exist, but does their legal basis?,” *China Law Translate*, August 14, 2018, <https://www.chinalawtranslate.com/xj-education-centers-exist-but-does-their-legal-basis/?lang=en>.
- 172 Adrian Zenz, “Brainwashing, Police Guards and Coercive Internment: Evidence from Chinese Government Documents about the Nature and Extent of Xinjiang’s ‘Vocational Training Internment Camps’,” *The Journal of Political Risk* 7, no. 7 (July 2019), <http://www.jpolorisk.com/brainwashing-police-guards-and-coercive-internment-evidence-from-chinese-government-documents-about-the-nature-and-extent-of-xinjiangs-vocational-training-internment-camps/>.
- 173 “China says 13,000 Xinjiang ‘terrorists’ arrested since 2014,” *Associated Press*, March 18, 2019, <https://apnews.com/0e3b005d281345278ed470823096ec9b>.
- 174 Taisei Hoyama and Alex Fang, “New date, new venue – but US-China trade talks spur little hope,” *Nikkei Asian Review*, July 25, 2019, <https://asia.nikkei.com/Economy/Trade-war/New-date-new-venue-but-US-China-trade-talks-spur-little-hope>.
- 175 “China says new foreign investment law to foster ‘fair competition’,” *Reuters*, March 8, 2019, <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-china-parliament-lawmaking/china-says-new-foreign-investment-law-to-foster-fair-competition-idUSKCN1QP0P9>.
- 176 Timmy Shen and Wu Hongyuran, “State Council Endorses ‘Competitive Neutrality’,” *Caixin*, December 26, 2018, <https://www.caixinglobal.com/2018-12-26/state-council-endorses-competitive-neutrality-101363735.html>.
- 177 “Competitive Neutrality: Maintaining a level playing field between public and private business,” *OECD*, August 30, 2012, <http://www.oecd.org/competition/competitiveneutralitymaintainingalevelplayingfieldbetweenpublicandprivatebusiness.htm>.
- 178 Peter Kotecki, “China’s ‘one-child’ policy led to a demographic time bomb, and now the country is scrambling to undo it,” *Business Insider*, August 13, 2018, <https://www.businessinsider.com/china-demographic-time-bomb-one-child-limit-2018-8>.
- 179 Taisu Zhang and Tom Ginsburg, “Legality in Contemporary Chinese Politics.”

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Jamie P. Horsley is a visiting fellow in the John L. Thornton China Center in the Foreign Policy program at Brookings. She is also a senior fellow at the Paul Tsai China Center and visiting lecturer in law at Yale Law School. Her project work and research revolve primarily around issues of governance and regulatory reform in China, including promoting government transparency, public participation in government decisionmaking, and mechanisms of government accountability, as well as touching on substantive areas ranging from food safety to civil society regulation to environmental governance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to acknowledge the helpful critiques of and suggestions about earlier drafts of this paper from an anonymous reviewer, Jerome A. Cohen, Paul Gewirtz, Ryan Haas, and Kenneth G. Lieberthal, research assistance of Brookings interns Elliott Ji and Jinjin He, and editing and other assistance from Anna Newby and Scarlett Ho.

The Brookings Institution is a nonprofit organization devoted to independent research and policy solutions. Its mission is to conduct high-quality, independent research and, based on that research, to provide innovative, practical recommendations for policymakers and the public. The conclusions and recommendations of any Brookings publication are solely those of its author(s), and do not reflect the views of the Institution, its management, or its other scholars.