

These two chapters form part of my current book project, which I am provisionally calling *Legality*. In a nutshell, the book sets out to address the limitations of, and develop an alternative to, the influential accounts of the nature of law put forth by John Austin, H.L.A. Hart, and Ronald Dworkin, among others. The parts of the manuscript I will be presenting at the workshop introduce this alternative account, which I call the "Planning Theory of Law." The rest of the book is primarily concerned with showing the advantages of the Planning Theory. More specifically, I argue that the Planning Theory is not only able to address many of the theoretical problems that have long plagued legal philosophy, but can help to resolve contemporary legal debates about proper interpretive methodology as well. (I would, of course, be happy to discuss all of this in the discussion if anyone is interested).

The two chapters attached here are nevertheless fairly self-contained. Although I do occasionally allude to other parts of the manuscript, I eventually go on to explain those references later in the two chapters I have provided. I hope this won't cause any confusion.

I very much look forward to the workshop.

Thanks, Scott